SINGLE BENCH

(4)

CF 1002 (2)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

(S)

WRIT PETITION No. 5747 /2006

PETITIONERS

1. Nilambar Markam, Son of Shri Shyam Sundar Markam aged about 40 years, resident of Village Sukli Bhata, P.O. Latapara, Deo Bhog, District-Raipur (C.G.).

2. Pushpa Sonwani, daughter of Shri Lalmani Sonwani, aged about 38 years, resident of Village Deo Bhog, District-Raipur (C.G.).

3. Ku. Ghankeshi Sonwani, daughter of Shri Lalmani Sonwani, aged about 37 years, Resident of Pradhan Para, Deo Bhog, District-Raipur (C.G.).

4. Shri Dhaneshwar Nagesh, Son of Shri Man Singh Nagesh, aged about 35 years, resident of Village Gohakela,

P.R. No. 5778/06
Presented by Shri Suresh Tandan
dated 16/11/06

16/11/06
Received Sd/- A.G.

(5)

(3)

P.O. Mungher, Deo Bhog, District-Raipur (C.G.).

5. Shri Jugeshwar Pradhan, Son of Bhuneshwar Pradhan, aged about 45 years, resident of Village Gohara Badar, Deo Bhog Raipur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Education Department (Ministry), D.K.S. Bhawan, G.E.Road, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Deputy Director of Public Institution, Education Department, Post Office - Pension Bada, Arvind Nagar Ward, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Block Education Officer, Government of Chhattisgarh, Education Department, Post Office - Deo Bhog, District-Raipur (C.G.).

सहायक आयुक्त
एस. के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
बिलासपुर तहसील केन्द्र

(6)

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE

CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(43)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (s) No. 5747 of 2006

Petitioners : Nilambar Markam and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Alok Nigam, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sumeet Verma, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(27th October, 2006)

1. It is submitted that the facts and question of law involved in the present petition are same to that of in Ram Sahay Jha Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others (Original Application No.15/1995). The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, by its judgment and order dated 16.2.1996, allowed the application of the petitioner therein. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to approach the respondents/State for consideration and disposal of the petitioners case in terms of the order dated 16.2.1996 passed in the case of Ram Sahay Jha (Supra) by Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State fairly agrees with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

(44)

3. Accordingly, the petitioners are granted liberty to make a representation before the respondents-State Authorities for their decision on the representation in the light of the order dated 16.2.1996 passed in the case of Ram Sahay Jha (Supra), in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable time.

4. With the above-stated liberty, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge